

This anonymous conversation took place on September 4, 2004 between an Anon-Star Advisor and a subscriber:

GD: "Hello, this is your Anon-Star Advisor, Greg, may I be of assistance?"

JN: "Hey, look, I can't *prove* the stuff you told me over the phone with this *packet* you sent me, Herb Evans is *all over me* and I can't do what I wanted to do, and *you* said you'd come to my defense, and..."

GD: "Slow down, Jeff. Take a deep breath... Now, what is the problem?"

JN: "I'm sorry... I can't use that packet you gave me, because Herb Evans keeps responding with stuff I *can't defend*."

GD: "Did you use the 'Innuendo' approach? That always works for me."

JN: "I used it, but he wants actual *proof, witnesses, facts!*"

GD: "I was afraid of that. That's why I didn't send *him* a packet. What else did you try?"

JN: "I tried the 'Nit-pick' approach, the 'Mr.-Innocent-just-trying-to-help' approach, and the 'All-I-wanted-to-do-is-forgive' approach."

GD: "Did you try the 'Victim' approach? That always worked for me. You know: 'Herb, I can't *believe* you're calling *me* a slanderer; I'm really *hurt* by this. I've never been *caught* slandering *anybody*.'"

JN: "That won't work with me. I've never pastored a church and been able to get sympathy before."

GD: "Oh yeah, you're right."

JN: "I need one last desperate measure."

GD: "Try this. Tell Herb you'll send *him* the packet *you* have, if he and Townsend give you *their* 'consent' to send it."

JN: "Okay, but what will that do?"

GD: "Then, if either does not give this 'consent', they really don't want to know the real truth about this. If they give you 'consent', then you can send your packet and be done with it. Then everyone will forget this whole thing, because you won't have a packet to have to *defend* anymore."

JN: "That's a *great* idea!"

GD: "They don't call me 'Packet-man' for nothing."

JN: "Thank you *so* much, Anon-Star."

GD: "Can I do anything else..."

Anon-Star, Always there, because you're always unrepentant.